STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN NATIONAL GUARD - AGENCY

vs.

ADVERSE ACTION APPEAL

JOSEPH L. SMOCK,

Appellant.

MILITARY PREHEARING CONFERENCE

BEFORE COLONEL KEVIN K. DAWKINS, HEARING EXAMINER

Camp Grayling, Michigan - Monday, October 6, 2014

Appearances:

For the Agency:

CPT DAVID J. BEDELLS, ESQ., (P55590)

Deputy General Counsel

Michigan Army & Air National Guard

3411 N. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.

Lansing, Michigan 48906

517-481-8100

For the Appellant:

BEN BANCHS

Laborers' International Union of

North America

Business Manager, National Guard

District Council

P.O. Box 1794

Abita Springs, Louisiana 70420

985-249-2315

1		
2	Also Present:	Joseph L. Smock
3		SSG Steven Schultz, Assist. CPT Bedells
4		MAJ Allyn Johnson, Labor Relations
5		Specialist
6		James Sweat, President 2132 Local
7		Ja'net Vallotton, 2nd Chair to Mr.
8		Banchs
9		
10		
11		
12	Recorded by:	CURTISS REPORTING
13		Post Office Box 6
14		Traverse City, Michigan 49685
15		(231) 941-8715
16		Tracy L. O'Brien, CER #5175
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					Page 3
1					
2		TABLE	OF	CONTENTS	
3					
4	WITNESSES:				PAGE:
5	None				
6					
7					
8	EXHIBITS:				MARKED:
9					
10	Exhibit 1				37
11	(Book 1, AR 15-6)				
12	Exhibit 2				37
13	(Book 2, AR 15-6)				
14	Exhibit 3				37
15	(Case File-Smock)				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Grayling, Michigan
2	Monday, October 6, 2014 - At about 8:00 a.m.
3	COURT RECORDER: We are on the record.
4	Today's date is October 6, 2014. The time is now 8:00
5	o'clock.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: I'll declare this
7	prehearing conference in session and identify myself
8	to you.
9	I am Kevin Dawkins, the Hearing Examiner that
10	was requested by the Adjutant General of Michigan to hear
11	the appeal of an adverse action case of Joseph Smock. The
12	actual case will be heard tomorrow 7 October, beginning at
13	8:00 o'clock.
14	My name, along with other qualified examiners,
15	was submitted to the Adjutant General of this State by the
16	National Guard Bureau, so that he could select a qualified
17	Hearing Examiner from outside this State to hear this
18	case.
19	After hearing this case, I will provide
20	the Adjutant General a recommendation resulting from the
21	outcome.
22	For the record, I want to identify those
23	persons that are present, beginning with the Agency. So I
24	think what we'll do is just go around the room and
25	identify who you are and your role in this hearing.

		Page 5
1	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I'm Captain David Bedells,	
2	and I'm theone of the Agency representatives and the	
3	Recorder in this hearing.	
4	STAFF SERGEANT SCHULTZ: Staff Sergeant Steven	
5	Schultz, and I'm assisting Captain Bedells.	I
6	MAJOR JOHNSON: Major Al Johnson. I'm the	
7	Labor Relations Specialist for the Michigan Guard.	
8	MR. SMOCK: Joe Smock. I guess I'm	
9	MR. BANCHS: The Appellant.	
10	MR. SMOCK: Oh.	
11	MR. SWEAT: James Sweat, President/Business	
12	Manager of Local 2132.	
13	MS. VALLOTTON: Ja'net Vallotton, LIUNA	
14	National Registry Council and the second chair.	
15	MR. BANCHS: And I'm Ben Banchs, LIUNA	
16	National Registry Council, and I'm Mr. Smock's	
17	representative.	
18	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you.	
19	MR. BANCHS: You're welcome.	
20	HEARING EXAMINER: Before we get fully into	
21	this, is there any chance of a prehearing settlement?	
22	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: No.	
23	MR. BANCHS: I mean, we'll entertain, if the	
24	Agency has something to offer, but we haven't heard	

anything, sir.

1	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And I just heard a
2	no here, so.
3	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: We don't have anything to
4	offer.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
6	For the record, I'd like to enter my opening
7	statement at this time. Let me give you an idea of what
8	we're going to be doing.
9	The case we'll be hearing tomorrow is the case
10	of an adverse action resulting in removal against
11	Appellant. My role in thisthe intent of this process is
12	for me to be able to determine three things. And those
13	things are did the technician do or not do what they were
14	charged with. Two, will some discipline based on the
15	proven conduct promote the efficiency of the service.
16	And, three, is the penalty in this case appropriate.
17	What I'll do, then, is take thattake my
18	determination after these hearings and send that to the
19	TAG of the State, and he'll make the ultimate decision.
20	I've been appointed by the National Guard
21	Bureau, and I will hear the case based upon its merits.
22	Each party in the case will be given an opportunity to
23	make an opening statement limited to 15 minutes each, have
24	its evidence cross-examined, have its witnesses presented
25	and cross-examined, and each side will be given the

1	opportunity to make a closing statement limited to 15
2	minutes each.
3	This Examiner will hear the evidence, weigh
4	its importance and render a recommendation to the Adjutan
5	General of Michigan within 45 days after I receive the
6	verbatim transcript of the proceedings.
7	Both parties maymust be aware that formal
8	rules of evidence do not apply in a hearing of this
9	nature. I will ask Counsel from both parties if you
10	understand this point.
11	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I do.
12	HEARING EXAMINER: Captain Bedells?
13	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I do, sir.
14	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
15	HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Banchs? Okay.
16	I'm going to take a minute now to let Tracy
17	give you guys some instruction, in terms of testimony of
18	your witnesses, that would help her be able to get that
19	verbatim transcript.
20	COURT RECORDER: Please advise the witnesses,
21	your particular witnesses, to wait and hear the entire
22	question before beginning their answer. And ask them to
23	afford the same courtesy from the attorneys, from the
24	people asking the questions.
25	They need to give a verbal answer. Shaking,

1	nodding of the head, uh-huhs, unh-unhs are difficult on a
2	transcript to understand which way you're going with it.
3	Also, you know, make sure they understand the
4	question, because I'm assuming, they give an answer,
5	you're going to expect that answer to be the truth, what
6	theywhat they know, but they need to have that
7	understanding. So make sure that if they don't understand
8	the question, to ask you, "Please rephrase," "I don't get
9	what you're saying."
10	Those are the basic things that we look for.
11	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	COURT RECORDER: Thank you, sir.
13	HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
14	MR. BANCHS: Thank you.
15	HEARING EXAMINER: I've called this prehearing
16	conference for the purpose of accomplishing four different
17	functions with regard to the actual hearing.
18	First, I want to develop and express the
19	ground rules for the conduct of the actual hearing.
20	Second, I want to identify any problem areas which we can
21	foresee during the preliminary conference, which may arise
22	during the actual hearing. Third, I want both parties to
23	agree upon any stipulations that may be agreeable to the
24	parties that are involved in this case. Fourth, I want to
25	establish the responsibilities and rights of the Appellant

1	and the Agency.
2	By accomplishing these four functions, I will
3	not be surprised by the actions of either party during the
4	actual hearing tomorrow. Do both parties understand this?
5	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: The Agency understands.
6	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
7	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you.
8	So far as the actual conduct of the hearing is
9	concerned, we will convene at 08:00, tomorrow 7 October in
10	this same room. There will only be one person from each
11	side of the case that will be allowed to represent the
12	case. Each side needs to agree as to herwho that person
13	would be.
14	For the Agency, I understand that person will
15	be Captain Bedells.
16	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Correct.
17	HEARING EXAMINER: And for the Appellant, my
18	understanding is that will be Mr. Banchs.
19	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
20	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
21	MR. BANCHS: One question, though, sheshe's
22	kind of like an expert, so she's going to askI'll just
23	boil it down. I'm Air Guard and she's Army. So a lot of
24	the Army terms that she understandsso there's going to
25	he some technical questions that are going to be asked

1	that I was going to have her ask those, if that's
2	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. During the hearing,
3	I want to hear from Captain Bedells and I want to hear
4	from you. If you need toif you need to talk to each
5	other to get clarification on the issues, I'm fine with
6	that.
7	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
8	HEARING EXAMINER: But in terms of, you know,
9	addressing the actual hearing, I want it to be you two.
10	MR. BANCHS: Okay. Understood.
11	HEARING EXAMINER: I think you can appreciate
12	why only one person is to be heard. This rule is not to
13	silence anyone. You are welcome and free to have as much
14	communication with your representative as you choose. But
15	the Examiner will hear only one voice. Okay?
16	Each witness will be sworn in prior to his or
17	her testimony. It's my responsibility to maintain proper
18	conduct and decorum throughout the hearing. Let me assure
19	each of you that I intend to exercise my responsibility in
20	this area.
21	Each party is hereby warned that, if proper
22	conduct and decorum is not maintained, I will terminate
23	the hearing. Does each party understand my position in
24	this area?
25	Captain Bedells?

1	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: We understand.
2	HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Banchs?
3	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
4	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Both parties have
5	previously agreed in this case to an open hearing.
6	Onlookers will be limited to the pre-approved list.
7	I plan on sequestering all the witnesses. And
8	since I don't know the people here in Michigan, I'll ask
9	each of you to ensure that, if any witness shows up here,
10	that you identify them to me immediately, so that I can
11	make sure that they're not here as an audience member, and
12	then also as a witness.
13	I expect, for the hearing, the Agency
14	representative will be here, along with Sergeant Schultz.
15	And Major Johnson, I see your role
16	primarily as a subject matter expert. And we probably
17	ought to talk about that, because I think you asked if you
18	would be a witnesshim andthere was a warrant officer.
19	MAJOR JOHNSON: Chief Mosciski.
20	MR. BANCHS: Chief Mosciski.
21	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Yeah. And I wanted to take
22	that up today.
23	HEARING EXAMINER: Let's talk about it now.
24	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Okay.
25	HEARING EXAMINER: Well, let me finish just

1	thisthis piece, and then we'llwe'll visit that.
2	So my expectation, obviously, primarily the
3	Appellant's here, the Appellant's primary representative
4	the Agency's primary representative, the Court Reporter
5	and myself. Those arethose are the primaries. Then
6	we've got the assistance of Sergeant Schultz, and then
7	your assistant, the local representative.
8	Are there any objections from the Agency to
9	those folks being present during the hearing?
10	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: To Ja'net, is it?
11	MS. VALLOTTON: Yes, sir.
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: And Jim Sweat?
13	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah.
14	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I have no objection.
15	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Okay. And any
16	objection to Sergeant Schultz assisting andwell, we
17	canlet's talk about Major Johnson last.
18	MR. BANCHS: Yeah, no objections against
19	Sergeant Schultz.
20	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
21	MR. BANCHS: And, at this point in time, I
22	don't plan on questioning
23	HEARING EXAMINER: As a witness?
24	MR. BANCHS: No, sir.
25	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: What about Mosciski?

1	MR. BANCHS: Mosciski, yes. But he's not
2	here.
3	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Right. Yeah, just by way of
4	background, I reviewed the emails that you and I exchanged
5	over thethese many months. And it slipped through that
6	he had even asked for Major Johnson and Chief Mosciski. I
7	didn't even realize that until last week.
8	I don't seefrankly, I don't see how they're
9	material to this case at all. So, I mean, youobviously
10	you can plead your case to Colonel Dawkins. Mosciski, I
11	understand, held Major Johnson's
12	MAJOR JOHNSON: Correct.
13	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:position. You know, if we
14	would have had this hearing on time, he would havehe
15	would have held that position. So inasmuch as he's not
16	part of the 15-6, I don't know how his testimony bears on
17	this. I'd object toI mean, thank you for excusing Major
18	Johnson, because he's here to help me. But I'd object to
19	Chief Mosciski. I don't know what role he plays in this
20	at all, so.
21	And the reason I bring up the emails is I
22	think what got caught in the middle was you had said you
23	wanted to change a couple of spectators, if you will, and
24	named Jim Anderson and someone else, to which I had no
25	objection. And to that, I said I don't have any objection

1	to that. And then somehow an email followed up right
2	after that saying, "And I want to put Mosciski and Johnson
3	on my witness list." And I didn't catch that or I would
4	have objected then, so.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
6	MR. BANCHS: They would just be procedural
7	questions.
8	HEARING EXAMINER: And here's what I would
9	suggest: It's not unusual to have the Labor Relations
10	Specialistthe Labor Relations Specialist's role in
11	adverse action cases is tois to facilitate the process.
12	They don't represent the Agency necessarily. They don't
13	represent the Appellant necessarily. They provide advice
14	to both parties to make sure that the process works. It's
15	typical for that individual to sit in the hearing, not as
16	a witness, butand not as anecessarily an assistant to
17	any particular side.
18	So my thinking is Major Johnson should be
19	here. If there are questions regarding the procedures
20	prior to his being in that roleisis the Chief around?
21	MAJOR JOHNSON: He is in Lansing today and en
22	route this afternoon.
23	HEARING EXAMINER: So he'll be here for
24	tomorrow and Wednesday; is that the plan?
25	MAJOR JOHNSON: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

1	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well
2	MAJOR JOHNSON: And he is available. I can
3	get hold of him now, if you need.
4	HEARING EXAMINER: My thought is you be the
5	primary representative of that piece of this case, the
6	subject matter expert on the process. And if there are
7	questions about what happened prior to youryour being in
8	that role, that you would get with him offline and bring
9	that information back.
10	Is that
11	MR. BANCHS: You know, sir, if you don't mind,
12	not that we're tipping our hand or anything, but the
13	questions thatthat I was potentially going to ask the
14	Chief had to do with representational issues, insofar as
15	whether representation was denied to any of the witnesses
16	that were questioned, notthe employees. At the time
17	they weren't witnesses, obviously, butnot to this
18	proceedings. Now they are. But those are the kindthose
19	are the kind of procedurebecause they were issues, and
20	we did file an unfair labor practice. And I'm sure, as
21	you guys knowwell, I don't know if you've been contacted
22	by the FLRA but
23	MAJOR JOHNSON: Yes, sir.
24	MR. BANCHS:the FLRA has a pending charge
25	against the Agency because they did find there were

1	violations of the statute. So those were the kind of
2	questions that we're going to askbe asked of the Chief.
3	They weren't necessarily in regards to the meat and
4	potatoes of the case, but just leading up to, you
5	knowand here's why: I mean I don't want to try the case
6	now, but
7	HEARING EXAMINER: Sure.
8	MR. BANCHS:in the instructions that were
9	given to Colonel Doolittle, he was told that, if he was
10	questioning technicians, that he had to remind them that
11	they had a right to be represented by the Union, and he
12	didn't do that. And soyou know, I mean, if they want to
13	stipulate to that, then the Chief won't have to testify.
14	But I wanted to have that available to me, because I think
15	it is an important part of the case, the fact that he did
16	deny witnesses representation.
17	HEARING EXAMINER: I'm trying to think
18	whatwas Mosciski present during the 15-6 investigation?
19	MR. BANCHS: Well, no. He was the LRS. And
20	weand weand we contacted Chief Mosciski numerous times
21	to tell him that Colonel Doolittle was denying employees
22	representation even after they did ask for it.
23	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, sir, as he said, it
24	doesn't go to the meat and potatoes of the case. I don't
25	think it's relevant. Hewe don't have awe weren't

1	provided any sort of witness synopses with respect to what
2	he's going to testify to or hear. I mean, I'm learning
3	about it for the first time.
4	HEARING EXAMINER: Sure.
5	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I want toI want to think
6	on it, butI mean, we added him. He had an opportunity
7	to say this is what he was going to testify to. I could
8	have, you know, I suppose responded with a written
9	objection. But I don't know how it's relevant toI mean,
10	heMr. BanchsMr. Banchs can certainly get to, when he
11	asks each witness, were you denied
12	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
13	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:and did you ask for and
14	were you denied representation. And then Ithen I have
15	an opportunity to cross-examine them. I don't know what
16	Chief Mosciski can really add to that. And I don't even
17	know how that's relevant to what we're going to try here.
18	But that's our position.
19	HEARING EXAMINER: Colonel Doolittle is a
20	witness, as are all of the folks that were interviewed
21	during the 15-6. So I would tend to agree. I think you
22	can get what you're looking for in terms of to get it
23	into the record by asking those individuals.
24	The Chief was a facilitator of the process. I
25	mean, you can go right to the Weingarten Rules and

1	establish for the record, if you want to, what's required
2	without having the Chief here.
3	MR. SMOCK: Sir, can wecan I speak offline
4	with Ben at this point right now? Thank you.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: Go off the record.
6	COURT RECORDER: Go off the record?
7	HEARING EXAMINER: Please.
8	COURT RECORDER: We are going off the record.
9	The time is 8:15.
LO	(Off the record)
11	(On the record)
12	COURT RECORDER: We are back on the record.
L3	The time is 8:18.
L4	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is there further
L5	discussion on
L6	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir. So what we'll propose
L7	is that weI agree with what you're saying we could get
L8	whatwe can get what we're looking for as far as the
L9	denial of representation hopefully from the witness
20	statements. However, if for some reason Colonel Deletol
21	(sic)Colonel Doolittle does not admit to the
22	non-representation, can we call the Chief as a rebuttal
23	witness? Because that was whatand it wasn't just with
24	him. It was also with Colonel Houchley (phonetic), it is?
25	MR. SMOCK: Houchley.

1	MR. BANCHS: Houchley.
2	HEARING EXAMINER: I'm trying to
3	MR. BANCHS: And he doesn't-he doesn't have
4	to be here in person either, sir.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. I'm just
6	undertrying to understand how Mosciski would know that.
7	I mean, howwhat
8	MR. BANCHS: That he would know that Colonel
9	Doolittle was denying representation? Because we called
10	him on it numerous times.
11	HEARING EXAMINER: But
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Can I speak to
13	HEARING EXAMINER: Please.
14	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: But unlessunless Houchley
15	orColonel Houchley or Chief Mosciski was actually
16	thereand I suppose you might get that through the
17	testimony. "Chief Mosciski was sitting right next to me
18	when I was denied representation." He's really notI
19	don't know how that bears on it. I understand you told1
20	understand you might have informed Chief Mosciski, "Hey,
21	our people are being denied Union representation." But
22	what you have is you have someone who's going to testify,
23	presumably, "I was denied Union representation," and
24	you're going to have Colonel Doolittle say, "No, you
25	weren't," and then the Hearing Examiner is going to have

1	to access their credibility.
2	MR. BANCHS: Well, there's also email traffic
3	back and forth that Colonel Doolittle was included in,
4	where I've warned him to stop denying representation. And
5	that was to the Chief. So, I mean this all goes toto
6	the
7	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, I mean, I haven't seen
8	your email traffic. It wasn't submitted prior to
9	September 26th, which was your deadline, sir. So I don't
10	know. I suppose
11	MR. BANCHS: Wasit's the Agency's emails. I
12	mean, these are your emails, as well.
13	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well
14	MR. BANCHS: So I mean, you know, they're
15	readily available. I mean, we could certainly submit them
16	to the record.
17	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, I would have liked to
18	have had them before September 26th, which was Colonel
19	Dawkins' deadline to have this stuff. And I don'tI
20	didn't include them in my exhibit list. So I suppose
21	MR. BANCHS: Well, how about this: The
22	Federal Labor Relations Authority has filedhas found
23	enough merit to a charge against the Agency for the
24	non-representation. If they want to stipulate to that,
25	I'm good with that, and we don't have to call anybody.

1	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: It's not material. We won't
2	stipulate to it. SoI don't think it's material, so.
3	HEARING EXAMINER: I think they're separate
4	issues. I mean, you have that issue, and obviously you
5	guys are pursuing that.
6	MR. BANCHS: Well, and here's what it goes to,
7	sir, because, you knowtheyou know, part of thepart
8	of the concern hereand it was submitted to the Agency in
9	a separate letter that went along with the technician
10	replies, was that Colonel Doolittle's tactics were
11	coercive and they were intimidating. So it does go to all
12	this. I mean
13	HEARING EXAMINER: You have an independent
14	case pending on that issue. We'veand I see the
15	connection and the relationship obviously with this issue.
16	But I think this hearing needs to be about the specific
17	charges in this case. And I think we need to focus on
18	that here.
19	MR. BANCHS: Fair enough. Now, I mean, I
20	would just ask for some latitude, then, when I'm
21	questioning Colonel Doolittle. I mean, it might seem a
22	littleyou know, I don't want itI don't want it to come
23	across and be objected against because they feel I'm
24	badgering the witness or anything. But if he doesn't want
25	to admit to the fact that he did deny these folks

1	representationI mean, there is email traffic in thein
2	the record where he told Ms. Reed that she didn'tand I
3	know this is the Reed case, but just, you know, for all
4	intents and purposeswhere he told her he didn't need
5	repshe didn't need representation, so.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And you can ask him
7	that question
8	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
9	HEARING EXAMINER:when he's here.
10	MR. BANCHS: All right.
11	HEARING EXAMINER: But I don'tI thinkwe're
12	getting
13	MR. BANCHS: As far as the Chief goes
14	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah.
15	MR. BANCHS:whatyou know what,
16	we'llwell, how about this: You know, the Agency objects
17	to it. We would like it. You know, I mean ifyou can
18	make your ruling, sir.
19	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
20	MR. BANCHS: If you don't think he needs to be
21	here, then
22	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
23	MR. BANCHS:you know, but we'llyeah.
24	HEARING EXAMINER: And I understand both your
25	positions on the issue. So, in terms of this case and

Τ	what we're here for, I'll take that into consideration.
2	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
3	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I do have some
4	instructions. We'll have an audience here on Tuesday and
5	Wednesday. As the Appellant was the requesting party for
6	the open hearing, and as the Agency has not requested the
7	presence of any audience members, I'm holding the
8	Appellant's representative, Mr. Banchs, responsible for
9	ensuring the following of the audience members:
10	Audience needs to understand this is not a
11	show. I expect quiet respect for the process, no
12	emotional outbursts or disruptive behavior of any kind.
13	No photography or any other form of recording of any part
14	of the proceeding. No entering or exiting the hearing
15	room between the time that a witness is sworn in and the
16	time that they're released. I just don't want that kind
17	of disruption. And it causes problems for Tracy, too,
18	with the noise in the background.
19	No contact, whatsoever, between the witnesses
20	and the audience during testimony. And I think we'll have
21	the room arranged to where the witnesses will beorI'm
22	sorrythe audience will be at that west end of the room,
23	and the witnesses will come through this door.
24	I retain the right to dismiss any or all of
25	the audience members if, in my opinion, the process is

1	contaminated by their presence. I'll exercise that right
2	if necessary.
3	Is this understood by both parties?
4	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: We understand, and we
5	haveI've learned that Lieutenant Colonel Niedergall and
6	Captain Marshall, both of whom arewell, Lieutenant
7	Colonel Niedergall is your supervisor in some sense?
8	MAJOR JOHNSON: She's my immediate supervisor
9	and
10	HEARING EXAMINER: She's a Deputy HRO.
11	MAJOR JOHNSON: She's a Deputy HRO.
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Okay. I understand she and
13	Captain MarshallI don't know Captain Marshall's
14	position.
15	MAJOR JOHNSON: Captain Marshall is assuming
16	my position effective 15 October.
17	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
18	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I understand they
19	MAJOR JOHNSON: They're moving me again.
20	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:they
21	MR. BANCHS: You're not going to LRS?
22	MAJOR JOHNSON: No.
23	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: They intend
24	MR. BANCHS: I'm sorry.
25	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Yeah. You've chased him

1	out.
2	MAJOR JOHNSON: You scare me, Ben.
3	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: So you'll be on the third
4	one.
5	I understand that they're going to come up
6	here and observe. And, of course, as you know, I don't
7	think I'll have a problem with them remaining quiet.
8	Butand this is last minute. I didn't
9	MR. BANCHS: No objections.
10	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I didn't realize this,
11	either, so.
12	MR. BANCHS: There's no objections.
13	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That's fine.
14	MR. BANCHS: Did you guys get our updated
15	witnessguest listguest
16	HEARING EXAMINER: Let's go through that real
17	quick
18	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
19	HEARING EXAMINER:just to make sure.
20	MR. BANCHS: Andwell, Mr. Smock's list,
21	there was just one addition. His list didn't change.
22	MR. SMOCK: At this point time, though the
23	hearing's changed so many times, I don't knowyou know, I
24	contacted the personnel that are on my guest list, and
25	some are out of town. So we'll see whoat this point in

1	time, who shows up.
2	HEARING EXAMINER: The list I have is Karen
3	Smock, Hannah Smock, Nick Smock, John Petersen, Jim
4	Sheldon, Jim Shirkey, Jerime Bower, Jim Anderson, Shawn
5	Krause, Keith Babenmoyer, Jerry Cannon, Mitch LeClair and
6	Gary McConnell.
7	MR. SMOCK: You should also have Jim Anderson
8	on there. I think you saiddid you say his name, sir?
9	MR. BANCHS: He did.
10	MR. SMOCK: Okay. Sorry. He ishe's
11	currently out of town.
12	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So he will not be
13	here?
14	MR. SMOCK: There's a few that I have not got
15	contact back from that may be out of town at this point in
16	time.
17	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
18	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: We don't have any objection
19	to any of those people.
20	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And, ma'am, I have a
21	list of those names with spellings, so I can get that to
22	you.
23	And then Colonel Niedergall and Captain
24	MAJOR JOHNSON: Captain Marshall.
25	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

1	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: And that'sas far as we
2	know, that's all
3	MAJOR JOHNSON: Yes, sir.
4	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:who will appear? Okay.
5	MAJOR JOHNSON: Yes, sir.
6	MR. BANCHS: Just for the record, we don't
7	object to any Agency guests, as long as they're not
8	witnesses.
9	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
10	MR. BANCHS: If they want to bring the whole
11	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I might. I don't needI
12	don't need 30 people telling me how to try this case.
13	HEARING EXAMINER: Maybe your supervisor will
14	show up.
15	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I think he will. I'm just
16	saying.
17	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Regarding
18	stipulations, I'm going to encourage each party to enter
19	as many stipulations as can be agreed to in this hearing.
20	By using stipulations, we can cut down the size of the
21	verbatim transcript and speed up the actual hearing.
22	We'll come back to stipulations later during
23	this prehearing conference, and make each stipulation that
24	both parties can agree upon a matter of record.
25	Each of you will have certain rights and

1	certain responsibilities. I'd like to outline what those
2	rights and responsibilities are.
3	First, all parties have a right to be present
4	throughout the entire hearing.
5	Both parties have a right to question all the
6	witnesses, and to respond to any adverse evidence that may
7	be presented in the course of the hearing.
8	Each side has a right to inspect all the
9	evidence or exhibits before they are made a part of the
10	record.
11	There are two very important obligations for
12	both sides.
13	First, there is an obligation to confine
14	testimony to matters which are relevant to this case, and
15	to avoid discussion of extraneous issues that will tend to
16	clutter the record.
17	Second, each party has an obligation to
18	introduce all the testimony and all the evidence that is
19	available for this hearing. No evidence is to be withheld
20	by either party, and especially by the Agency, even
21	ifeven if it is prejudicial to your case.
22	I have the responsibility to create an
23	impartial atmosphere, treating both parties alike. Since
24	the objective of this hearing is to develop fully all the
25	evidence surrounding the issues in this case, I shall be

1	as flexible about the application of the procedures as I
2	possibly can. I will always make certain that each
3	party's been given an opportunity to fully present their
4	case.
5	Do each of the parties understand their rights
6	and responsibilities?
7	Agency?
8	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Yes, sir.
9	HEARING EXAMINER: Appellant?
10	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
11	HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
12	I will now speak about testimony. If
13	testimony is given to a conversation or occurrence or an
14	event, then the place, persons present, date, approximate
15	time of the conversation or event must also be stated as
16	part of the testimony.
17	I will hear opinions, but opinions will be
18	consider and weighed upon the qualifications of the
19	witness. If it's to be an expert opinion on some matter,
20	then the witness' qualification as an expert will be
21	considered in weighing the content of the opinion that is
22	expressed.
23	I'd like to now talk about evidence. And if
24	there were questions, I'd like to revolve them as we
25	proceed through the following definitions I'll define

four different types of evidence and how each may be used in the hearing.

First is direct evidence. Oral evidence which tends to directly prove or disprove a fact. Direct evidence is also admissible in this type of proceeding, however, when you present direct evidence, identify it for the record.

Two, documentary evidence. Documentary evidence may be admitted subject to identification and subject to its relevancy. And in a few minutes we'll go through the documents and then identify those.

Affidavits, if there are any, may be--may be admitted, however, I will rule on each affidavit separately prior to its submission. Documentary evidence must be shown to all parties concerned before introduction into the record.

Number three, hearsay evidence. Hearsay evidence is admissible in this type of proceeding, however, if you use hearsay, the evidence must be identified as hearsay. And I'll give it whatever weight it merits. Consequently, the weight of hearsay evidence usually will not be so great as documentary evidence or direct evidence that might be brought out by a witness.

And, finally, circumstantial or indirect evidence, which are facts which usually tend to prove other facts.

1	Does each party understand my definitions of
2	evidence and what types of evidence I will allow to be
3	submitted during the hearing?
4	Agency?
5	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: We do.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: Appellant?
7	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
8	HEARING EXAMINER: I want you to understand
9	that all evidence to be considered will be made a part of
10	the appeal file. I will decide any question concerning
11	the admissibility of evidence. All evidence presented in
12	this case must be both relevant and material and not
13	unduly repetitious. Evidence is relevant when it has some
14	bearing on the issue in the adverse action. Evidence is
15	material when it will have some weight on the disposition
16	of the case. Evidence is unduly repetitious when it
17	duplicates other evidence which conclusively proves the
18	point.
19	It's important that both parties understand
20	the definition of evidence that I've just placed into the
21	record, because my recommendations and decision in this
22	case will be based upon the understanding of evidence.
23	Before we leave the subject of testimony and
24	evidence, I would like to explain off-the-record
25	discussions. If any party to this hearing asks to talk

1	off the record, he or she may be permitted to do so for
2	the sake of keeping long, irrelevant chatter off the
3	record. When we go back on the record, I'll make a short
4	summary of our off-the-record discussion that will become
5	a part of the record on the verbatim transcript.
6	The actual hearing that we shall conduct will
7	proceed in this fashion: The Agency will present their
8	case, followed by the Appellant.
9	Does each side understand the order of
10	business for the actual hearing?
11	Agency?
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Yes.
13	HEARING EXAMINER: Appellant?
14	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
15	HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Let's talk
16	about documents. I've received documents for the appeals
17	case file. The finished product, which each party has
18	before them at this time, consists of the case file.
19	Let's talk about this.
20	So the Agency has proposed that there areare
21	three exhibits in this case. And let's talk about this.
22	The 15-6 Investigation is in two binders. The Agency's
23	proposed that the first binder be Exhibit 1, the second
24	Exhibit be binder 2, and the third Exhibit be the binder
25	marked "Smock Case File." And then we can get into the

1	subdivisions within each of those exhibits, if you're
2	agreeable to the
3	MR. BANCHS: Sir, just for my understanding,
4	this is the second CD, right, volume 2, and this is volume
5	1; the way that you guys sent it to us?
6	MAJOR JOHNSON: Yes, sir.
7	MR. BANCHS: Okay. It looks correct, sir.
8	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
9	MR. BANCHS: We're not entertaining the Reed
LO	case right now, though, right? We're not
11	HEARING EXAMINER: No. Right. We'll do that
L2	this afternoon.
L3	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
L4	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: And what wewhat we
L5	propose, Ben, is, unless you have one that's better than
L6	this one, thisour version is not printed on both sides
L7	of the page, and it's further subdivided.
L8	MR. BANCHS: What are you talking about? The
L9	15-6?
20	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Right.
21	MR. BANCHS: We've got our own copies of it.
22	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Okay. Great. Well, what
23	we're proposing to doif you don't mind, sir
24	HEARING EXAMINER: No, please.
25	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:is admit this one asfor

1	instance, Book 1, as Exhibit 1, so that we couldso that
2	we can say Exhibit 1, Tab A, Sub-tab 3, then we could
3	point to it and say thatwell, this is a bad example.
4	But this is Lieutenant Colonel Golnick's
5	MR. BANCHS: Well, obviously, our tabs are not
6	the same as y'all's. So that might be an issue. So do
7	you have another one of those that's tabbed out for us?
8	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: No. This isthis is my
9	copy, and that's your copy. But I mean this isweI
10	suppose what we can do is we'll propose to admit our two
11	books, and ififif the Appellant's binders contain
12	precisely what we do, I don't have any objection to them
13	admitting them, but if it includes more, than
14	HEARING EXAMINER: Let's go off the record
15	here for just a minute.
16	COURT RECORDER: We are going off the record.
17	The time is 8:35.
18	(Off the record)
19	(On the record)
20	COURT RECORDER: We are back on the record.
21	The time is 8:48.
22	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Being back on the
23	record, we just discussed the ordering and numbering of
24	the evidence in the case. And so I'll explain how that's
25	going to be done.

1	There will be three inor three Exhibits.
2	Exhibit 1 is Book 1 of the AR 15-6 Investigation. Exhibit
3	2 will be Book 2 of that same Investigation. Exhibit 3 is
4	the actual Adverse Action Case File for Joseph Smock.
5	The index for Book 1 begins with Tab A, which
6	is the Appointment Memoranda.
7	Tab B Questions and Interviews. Sub-Tabs to
8	Tab B are Number 1, Lieutenant Colonel McNamara
9	transcript. Number 2, Major Brian Burrell transcript.
LO	Number 3, Lieutenant Colonel Christopher Golnick
11	transcript. Number 4, CW2 Joel Mack transcript. Number
L2	5, Master Sergeant Thad Cooper transcript. Number 6,
L3	Master Sergeant Renee Reed transcript.
L4	Tab C is Privacy Act Statements. Tab D is
L5	Rights/Warnings.
L6	Tab E, Sworn Statements. Sub-tabs to Tab E
L7	are Sergeant First Class Jamie Joseph, Number 1. Number
L8	2, Sergeant Kathryn Barrick. Tab 3, Staff Sergeant Brian
L9	Barrick. Tab 4, Master Sergeant Jane (sic) Fouts. Tab 5,
20	CW2 Joel Mack. Tab 6, Master Sergeant Thad Cooper. Tab
21	7, Warrant Officer 1, Sharon Whitcher. Sub-Tab 8, CW4
22	Dean Miller. Sub-Tab 9, Major Brian Burrell. Sub-Tab 10,
23	CW2 Robert Fitzpatrick. Sub-Tab 11, CW2 Robert (sic)
24	Whitcher. Sub-Tab 12, Master Sergeant Troy Herblet.
25	Tab F Original Complaint Tab C Pegords

1	Requests. Tab H, Ben Banchs FaceBook Post. Tab I, MATES
2	Union Representation Request.
3	The Sub-Tabs in Exhibit 2 are Sub-Tab Jor
4	Tab J, MATES Standard Operating Procedures. Tab K, Waste
5	Management Invoices. Tab L, Suburban Bolt and Supply
6	Invoices. Tab M, MATES Credit Card Purchases. Tab N,
7	MATES Time Off/Safety Awards. Tab O, Sergeant Bower
8	Document Request and Supporting Documents. Tab P, MATES
9	Time and Attendance Records for 4 September 2013. And Tak
10	Q, Investigation Timeline.
11	Moving to Exhibit 3, which is the Adverse
12	Action Case File for Joe Smock, tabs are as follows:
13	Tab A, Proposed Adverse Action Notice. Tab B,
14	Request for Representation. Tab C, Representation Notice
15	and Request for Extension to Suspense for Reply. Tab D,
16	Extension to Suspense for Reply Granted. Tab E, Reply to
17	Adverse Action Notice. Tab F, Attachment to Technician
18	Reply. Tab G, Original Decision Memo and Douglas Factors.
19	Tab H, Suspension With Pay. Tab I, Request for Hearing
20	Examiner. Tab J, Notice of Personnel Action. And Tab K,
21	Request for Information Concerning Agency Disciplinary
22	Practices.
23	Thank you.
24	Any other questions on the documentation?
25	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: And, sir, for the record,

1	we're just marking those Exhibits right now.
2	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
3	(At about 8:53 a.m., Exhibits 1, 2 and 3
4	marked)
5	MR. BANCHS: Sir, do we wantcan wedo we
6	want to or can we talk about documents that we had
7	requested from the Agency in the last, you know, 30 days
8	or whatever, like, inspection records and stuff like that,
9	that was provided to us by the Agency? They weren't
LO	necessarily requests for information, per se, but they
L1	were requests made inin support of this case.
L2	HEARING EXAMINER: Talk about it in whatin
L3	what sense? I mean
L4	MR. BANCHS: As far as our ability to
L5	reference them. Because they're not necessarily evidence,
L6	they're just documents that exist, nono more than a reg
L7	would exist.
L8	HEARING EXAMINER: What'swhat's your
L9	question? What specifically?
20	MR. BANCHS: Well, we had asked for copies of,
21	you know, all inspections that had been takenthat had
22	been done at MATES since 2007, all, you know, NGB, Army,
23	whatever, which the Major provided to us. We also asked
24	for copies of all the FLIPLs that have been done here
25	since 2007, which they provided to us. I don't

1	necessarily think that's evidence. I mean, those are all
2	Agency records. So as far as us referencing them during
3	theduring the casedo we want to submit them into
4	evidence or
5	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, are
6	MR. BANCHS: We also have a request for all
7	the GSAs, and I know it's not supportive of this case,
8	but
9	HEARING EXAMINER: Let me ask this first:
10	Have you received everything you've asked for from the
11	Agency?
12	MR. BANCHS: Everything but the GSA logs,
13	because they were aton property here. And, you know,
14	they're voluminous. And they're in support of the Reed
15	case, anyway.
16	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So for the Smock
17	case, you have everything that you've asked for?
18	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir. And it was justwe
19	had just asked for copies of all the inspections that had
20	taken here and whatever property loss reports had been
21	done over the last seven years.
22	HEARING EXAMINER: Captain Bedells, do you
23	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, diddidI honestly
24	don't recall, but I tend to think that wethat you didn't
25	submit those as proposed exhibits at the outset of this

1	case. Right? I mean, you've asked for the material, but
2	you didn't
3	MR. BANCHS: Yeahwell, no, wewell,
4	it'swhatchamacallit. It'sit's no different than a
5	reg.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. I'mif I'm hearing
7	you right, you're asking if it's ayou're asking if
8	there's documentation that you refer to that's not
9	evidence, but has been established, for the record, in a
10	shop somewhere or something like that.
11	MR. BANCHS: Correct.
12	HEARING EXAMINER: If you refer to that
13	duringduring your questioning, are you wondering if
14	that's admissible? Is that what you'reis that what
15	you're asking?
16	MR. BANCHS: Yeah. Act
17	HEARING EXAMINER: Andand
18	MR. BANCHS: Yeah.
19	HEARING EXAMINER:and if that's the case,
20	do those documents need to be here? I don't think they
21	need to be a part of the record.
22	MR. BANCHS: Right. Because I'm not
23	submitting them into evidence.
24	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
25	MR. BANCHS: I'm just going to be asking

1	with a same about their brown adam of well brown do well
1	witnesses about their knowledge of, you know, do youyou
2	know, basically are you aware that there's only been one
3	FLIPL that has been filed in the MATES since 2007. That's
4	the nature of the questioning.
5	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Not the FLIPL, itself?
6	MR. BANCHS: Right. Not the FLIPL, itselfI
7	mean
8	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Yeah. So
9	MR. BANCHS:well, weI might reference
10	what the FLIPL was about, but
11	HEARING EXAMINER: So if he
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Right.
13	HEARING EXAMINER:references an
14	administrative process that took place, but doesn't have
15	the actual documents showing that, areand obviously I
16	don't want toI don't want to rule anything in or out
17	that we don't know what's going to come up, but
18	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Okay. Well, that's a
19	different issue. I thought he was speaking to, perhaps,
20	even getting a FLIPL in or
21	HEARING EXAMINER: No, I don't
22	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:a GSA log in.
23	MR. BANCHS: No.
24	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: But if he's just going to
25	question someone about, you know

Curtiss Reporting 2319418715

1	MR. BANCHS: Well, the
2	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:"I have in my possession a
3	GSA log, and, you know, I'm going to ask you to read it,"
4	and whatnot. What I object to is I wasn't provided notice
5	that that GS logGSA log was going to be admitted into
6	evidence.
7	HEARING EXAMINER: Right. Right.
8	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: But as far as questioning
9	them, I don't have a problem with that.
10	MR. BANCHS: Well, the GSA log would go to the
11	Reed case. But wehave we located the GSA logs?
12	MAJOR JOHNSON: I just sent Major Austhof an
13	email asking forasking him to round them up, so.
14	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, your instruction said
15	we're going to provide
16	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah.
17	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:you know, whether it's
18	prejudicial to our case or not. I don't have a problem
19	with submitting the GSA logs. What I like to haveI
20	would like to have known ifif, in the case of the Reed
21	file, they wanted to submit the GSA log, they would have
22	said that "We propose to admit this GSA log into
23	evidence." And Iand so I guess we can take that up this
24	afternoon.
25	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. We can talk about

Curtiss Reporting 2319418715

1	that later.
2	MR. BANCHS: Okay. Let's talk about it then.
3	HEARING EXAMINER: Well, talk aboutin terms
4	of you referring toand this goes to either party. If
5	you're referring to something that's factual that the
6	record exists out there somewhere
7	MR. BANCHS: Correct.
8	HEARING EXAMINER:I'm fine with that.
9	Obviously, you know, if there's an objection that II'm
10	not thinking of right now, that can come up at the time,
11	and we canwe can talk about it at the time.
12	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
13	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Any further
14	discussion on documentation or evidence? Okay.
15	MR. BANCHS: No, sir.
16	HEARING EXAMINER: Let's move to witnesses
17	then. I'm in receipt of a list of witnesses from both
18	parties. And I'd like to thank each representative for
19	providing this information as requested. I want to
20	identify each of these witnesses for the record at this
21	time. And let's start with the Agency.
22	Mr. Banchs, have youI assume you've looked
23	through the witness list.
24	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
25	HEARING EXAMINER: To make sure we're all on

1	the same page, I have Sergeant Brian Barrick.
2	MR. BANCHS: Do we have thosedo you guys
3	have a list available? I didn'twe can just go through
4	it. It's fine.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Sergeant Brian
6	Barrick. Sergeant Kathryn Barrick. Major Brian Burrell.
7	Master Sergeant Thad Cooper. Colonel Scotty Doolittle.
8	Colonel Gregory Durkac. Captain Blaine Emery. Chief
9	Warrant Officer 2 Robert Fitzpatrick. Master Sergeant
10	Jane (sic) Fouts. Master Sergeant Troy Herblet. CW2 Joel
11	Mack. Lieutenant Colonel Michael McNamara. CW4 Dean
12	Miller. Staff Sergeant Cory Rock. Master Sergeant Tim
13	Sheldon. Warrant Officer 1 Sharon Whitcher. CW2 Todd
14	Whitcher.
15	Any other witnesses for the Agency? Is that
16	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I believe that's all. And I
17	think that, sir, we could not locate McNamara; is that
18	correct?
19	MAJOR JOHNSON: Yes. Correct. McNamara did
20	not reply to any of my correspondence.
21	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: And we excused Rock.
22	MAJOR JOHNSON: Rock.
23	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Because he has a family
24	emergency of some sort, so. And I think you were tracking
25	that?

1	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
2	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: So I don't think we're going
3	to see CoryI believe his name is Cory Rock.
4	HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Yep.
5	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Or Lieutenant Colonel
6	McNamara. Now, I'm going to continue to reach out to try
7	to get Lieutenant Colonel McNamara here, but we can't seem
8	to pin him down. So other than that, that list seems
9	complete. Is that right?
10	STAFF SERGEANT SCHULTZ: I think so, sir.
11	MR. SMOCK: Sir, do wedo we know what order?
12	I haven'tis this a point where we discuss who comes
13	first or anything else? Do you know what'show that
14	works, sir, or do you
15	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I don'tcan I respond?
16	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. Please.
17	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Okay. I don't have an order
18	in mind right now.
19	MR. SMOCK: Okay.
20	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: So we'll figure that out
21	tomorrow morning.
22	HEARING EXAMINER: And I'll just remind you,
23	if you have
24	MR. SMOCK: Okay. Sorry. Sorry
25	HEARING EXAMINER:no. That's fine.

Curtiss Reporting 2319418715

1	MR. SMOCK:sir.
2	HEARING EXAMINER: Justit's easierit keeps
3	it more orderly.
4	MR. SMOCK: Yeah. I'll ask him the question
5	from here on out.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: Very good. Thanks.
7	MR. SMOCK: No problem.
8	HEARING EXAMINER: And are any of these
9	witnesseswill they all be present, physically present,
10	other than McNamara and Rock? There was some talk about
11	somebody on a DCR or VTC.
12	MAJOR JOHNSON: Lieutenant Colonel Perricane.
13	MR. BANCHS: Those were defense witnesses,
14	sir.
15	MAJOR JOHNSON: They were for Reed.
16	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We'll get to those,
17	then.
18	MAJOR JOHNSON: That's the Reed case only.
19	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Any other issues on
20	the Agency's witnesses?
21	MR. BANCHS: If McNamara doesn't show, is
22	ouris his witness statement even admissible?
23	HEARING EXAMINER: How did you guys get his
24	witness statement? Is it
25	MR. BANCHS: Because I don't think he has a

1	sworn statement. It was just ait was just the interview
2	transcript. Most of the witness submitted
3	HEARING EXAMINER: He was interviewed in the
4	15was he interviewed in the 15-6?
5	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: So obviously that's
7	acceptable. Whateverdid he sign a sworn statement
8	duringduring the 15-6?
9	MR. BANCHS: No. Not for the interviews.
10	None of thenone of the witnesses that were interviewed
11	signed. The only sworn statements are the actual DA form,
12	whatever it is.
13	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: There was, however, a
14	transcript done. And I believe I forwarded the audio
15	tapes to everyone, correct?
16	HEARING EXAMINER: I have that also.
17	MR. BANCHS: But the transcripts are not
18	verbatim
19	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
20	MR. BANCHS:which I don'tI do have an
21	issue with, because they're not verbatim. They're just
22	kind of more like notes. Because if youif you listen to
23	an actual recording and you look at what the Agency
24	provided as a "transcript," is not verbatim. So it'sI
25	would notI would not agree to admitting the transcripts

1	as verbatim transcripts into the record. They're more
2	like notes. And absent a DA form, sworn statement from
3	Colonel McNamara, I would not think that his
4	interviewbecause really, in the interview notes, are
5	just notes from Colonel Doolittle. I don't think, in our
6	opinion, it would be admissible. Same thing with Colonel
7	Golnick, although he'she's on the witness list. But
8	that's the only one that jumped out at me was Colonel
9	McNamara did not provide a sworn written statement.
10	The only other one that didn't provide a sworn
11	written statement was Master Sergeant Reed, but obviously
12	that's in her case, and she's going to be testifyingI
13	mean, I'm sorry, notI don't know if she's going to be
14	testifying or not, but she's there.
15	HEARING EXAMINER: Let me ask the Agency.
16	This was your witness. If he's not here, what's your
17	intent in terms of presenting whatwhat he would have
18	contributed toto your case?
19	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, frankly, I wouldI
20	thought we had previously stipulated that these exhibits
21	were in. So what waswhat was included in Book 1,
22	Exhibit 1
23	MR. BANCHS: Well, I never stipulated to the
24	contents of it. I just stipulated that itto theit's
25	Colonel Doolittle's file as he submitted it.

1	HEARING EXAMINER: There's a lot of stuff in
2	the 15-6 that hadthat is far beyond the scope of this
3	case and is far beyond the individuals we're talking about
4	in these two cases.
5	MR. BANCHS: Exactly. So that
6	HEARING EXAMINER: So
7	MR. BANCHS: IfI didn't real
8	HEARING EXAMINER: The 15-6 is in the record.
9	Okay?
10	MR. BANCHS: Yes.
11	HEARING EXAMINER: Whatever it is or it isn't,
12	it's in there. My interest in this case for Mr. Smock is,
13	if McNamara was here, you'd have questions for him,
14	obviously. If he's absent, what's your position in terms
15	of his contribution to
16	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, it'd be limited to
17	what's in the
18	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
19	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:official investigation. I
20	mean, I'm not asking for anything more. But I do expect
21	what was presented in the investigation to be part of the
22	record. And I'm at a loss. I mean, we'veI've noI'm
23	sure Major Johnson has madeyou know, gone above and
24	beyond what's required to reach out to this guy and get
25	him here. But, for whatever reason, we can't locate him.

So I'm--I guess I'm stuck with what's in the actual 15-6.

I wouldn't ask for anything more, but I certainly am not

going to, you know, stipulate to anything less than what's

in the 15-6.

5 MR. BANCHS: Well, our--

HEARING EXAMINER: All right.

MR. BANCHS: --my position would be that it certainly there's a lot of stuff that's in the 15-6. But whatever statements Colonel McNamara might have made against or even for Mr. Smock, you know, I mean, our inability to be able to question him. You know, and he's--and he was--he was on the list as your witness, not ours. I think those statements shouldn't be--I mean, I'm not telling you what to do, sir. But I mean, in our opinion, I don't think they should be considered because we can't--I can't cross-examine that witness if he's not here.

And, at this point--now, granted, we do have the audio, but it was not a sworn statement. And each audio recording, every witness that provided audio testimony, it's not even really testimony. They were all told that that was not going to be released to anybody. And that's why we have the written sworn statements. So what people said during the interview could have been just something that they were saying just because they thought

1	it was never going to be released to anybody. Because
2	clearlyand there's several witnesses in there thatthat
3	asked Colonel Doolittle at least once or twice during the
4	interview, "Are you sure this is not going to be
5	released?"
6	So without a sworn statement from Colonel
7	McNamara and him not here being present toto be
8	cross-examined, I object to hisI mean, it's in theit's
9	in the file, obviously. But I object to anything he said
10	being used or referenced.
11	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Depending on who did the
12	interview, I don't know if it was Captain Emery or Colonel
13	Doolittle, but I suppose what we could do is we can
14	certainlyI mean, to the extent you takeyou object to
15	the contents of the interview, you can ask Captain Emery
16	or Colonel Doolittle.
17	MR. BANCHS: Well, they were both there.
18	Yeah.
19	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Right. Or you can ask both
20	of them. So you canyou can questionyou can question
21	the actual interviewer about the actual interview, insofar
22	as it exists in this book. I would like Lieutenant
23	Colonel McNamara here. We can't pin him down.
24	HEARING EXAMINER: I think we all would.
25	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: It seems to be a theme of

1	this investigator this hearing. We can't get everyone
2	here, so.
3	MR. BANCHS: Well, Colonel McNamara'sI mean,
4	even thoughI mean, he's one of your primary, you know,
5	contributors, for the lack of a better wordnot yours,
6	but, you know, to the investigation. I mean, he made a
7	lot of allegations, not justI mean, and he's made a lot
8	of allegations, you know, concerning Mr. Smock. So, you
9	know, I don't want to get all Constitutionally on y'all,
10	but, you know, he does have the right to face his
11	accusers.
12	HEARING EXAMINER: I think we all would like
13	Colonel Doolittle (sic) to be here. But if he's not able
14	to be here
15	MR. BANCHS: McNamara.
16	HEARING EXAMINER: Or McNamara.
17	And given the nature of your concern, you
18	certainly are welcome to ask Colonel Doolittle or Captain
19	Emery whatever questions you want about the period of time
20	they questioned Colonel McNamara. And then I'llI'll
21	give due weight to the lack of additional witness
22	testimony from Colonel McNamara if he's not able to be
23	here.
24	Any other discussion on the Agency's
25	witnesses?

1	MR. BANCHS: I don't have any at this point,
2	sir.
3	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
4	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Hold on. You did say Thad
5	Cooper, correct? I think you missed him.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah.
7	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Okay.
8	HEARING EXAMINER: All right. All right.
9	Let's move to the Appellant's witness list for Mr. Smock's
LO	case.
11	I have Colonel Scotty Doolittle. First
12	Lieutenant Blaine Emery. Colonel Greg Durkac. Master
L3	Sergeant Thad Cooper. Master Sergeant Jane (sic) Fouts.
L4	CW2 Todd Whitcher. Lieutenant Colonel Chris Golnick.
L5	Warrant 1 Sharon Whitcher. Major Brian Burrell. Master
L6	Sergeant Troy Herblet. CW4 Dean Miller. CW2 Joel Mack.
L7	Mr. Tim Sheldon. Lieutenant Colonel Scott Meyers.
L8	And then there was a following email asking
L9	for Colonel Jim Gardiner. Was that specific to either one
20	of the cases or was that for both?
21	MR. BANCHS: That was just for Mr. Smock's
22	case.
23	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. He's the G4?
24	MAJOR JOHNSON: Correct.
2.5	HEARING EXAMINER: Any objection to this

1	witness list from the Agency?
2	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: With thewith the inclusion
3	of Colonel Gardiner, correct?
4	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
5	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: There'sI don't think we
6	objected at the time to Colonel Gardiner. And I want to
7	double check, sir, now that you mention Lieutenant Colonel
8	Meyers, I thought we included him on ouron our witness
9	list, as well.
10	HEARING EXAMINER: There are several that are
11	on both.
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Right. But I thought
13	HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I see what you're
14	saying.
15	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Yeah. He's included on
16	theon Mr. Smock's witness list, but for some reason he's
17	left off our, and I thought we included him, so. Either
18	way he's going to be here.
19	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
20	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I want toI want to take a
21	look and make sure.
22	HEARING EXAMINER: So you want him to be an
23	Agency witness?
24	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I thought weI thought we
25	named him, because he, you know, proposed the adverse

	- 4.5
1	action notice, so. Butbut if that was an oversight on
2	our part, thenhe'll be here regardless, though. But I'd
3	like to call him. I'd like to call him tomorrow in
4	theas Mr. Smock alluded to, I've got an order in mind.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
6	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: And it would make sense to
7	call him
8	HEARING EXAMINER: Sure.
9	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:in the order in which I
10	propose to do it. But
11	HEARING EXAMINER: I suggest
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:if we didn'tif we didn't
13	do it and they object, I
14	MR. BANCHS: No, sir. No objections.
15	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So Lieutenant
16	Colonel Scott Meyers will be a witness for both the Agency
17	and for the Appellant.
18	Any objection toany other objection? Okay
19	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: No.
20	HEARING EXAMINER: Is there any reason
21	whyother than Colonel McNamara and Sergeant Rock, any
22	reason why any of these witnesses cannot be present for
23	the hearing that either of you are aware of at this time?
24	MAJOR JOHNSON: No, sir.
25	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

1	I would like to, for the record, indicate that
2	I'm hereby instructing Counsel for the Agency to assure
3	all witnesses who are employed in the full-time support of
4	the National Guard are available to testify at the
5	designated time.
6	Additionally, I want the record to indicate
7	that I'm hereby instructing Counsel of the Appellant that
8	it's the Appellant's responsibility to assure that all
9	persons who are testifying on behalf of the Appellant and
10	not employed by the National Guard are present at the
11	designated time at no expense to the Agency.
12	Advised earlier that we would return to
13	stipulations and decide what each party could
14	stipulatecould stipulate for the record.
15	I shall begin with the representative for the
16	Agency and ask if you have any stipulations.
17	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, the onlysir, the
18	only thing we'd ask thatthat the Appellant stipulate to
19	are the exhibits that I believe we've already discussed,
20	which is Exhibits 1Exhibit 1, the Book 1 of the 15-6,
21	Exhibit 2, which is Book 2 of the 15-6, and Exhibit 3,
22	which is our Case File and all the attachments included.
23	So thatI mean, we don't propose any other documentary
24	evidence other than what's in there.
25	MR. BANCHS: Outside our objection to the

1	McNamara statements that are inin those files, we
2	stipulate to everything else.
3	HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have any further
4	stipulations that you want to
5	MR. BANCHS: I can't think of any right now,
б	sir. I mean, the only concerns that we had were just, you
7	know, the pictures and stuff like that. But ifI think
8	they've already stipulated to these, so.
9	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
LO	MR. BANCHS: Do youyou want to review these
11	before, so you know what you're stipulating to.
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Are theywell, you've
13	represented to me that what they are is more
L4	MR. BANCHS: It's just what's already in here.
15	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Yeah. Which is already in
16	there. So if I
L7	MR. BANCHS: Right.
18	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:if when you propose to,
19	you know, explore those photos
20	MR. BANCHS: Right.
21	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:I find that it's not
22	what's in the
23	MR. BANCHS: Obviously you can object, yeah.
24	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:then, at that point, we'll
25	place our objection. But for right now, we're good with

1	photos that are
2	MR. BANCHS: That have already been submitted.
3	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Right. That are more
4	accurate than what's in a black and white version.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: And I don't know that we
6	need toI mean, these exhibits arethey're in the
7	record.
8	MR. BANCHS: Yes.
9	HEARING EXAMINER: So I don't know that there
LO	even needs to be a stipulation thatthat we accept that
11	they're in the record.
12	MR. BANCHS: Right. And probably I should
L3	make clear that we stipulate to the physicalI don't
L4	stipulate to what's in them, I mean, per se. I mean, I
L5	don't necessary agree with everything that's in them,
L6	obviously, this is what the case is about. Right?
L7	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
L8	MR. BANCHS: So we understand that we're not
19	accepting that as
20	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. And we're not going
21	to change the case.
22	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
23	HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, the case is what it
24	is, and itand it'll be up to you to explore, obviously,
25	both parties explore with the witnesses when they're here

1	what they thought or what theyas itas it affects Mr.
2	Smock and Ms. Reed.
3	Okay. So I'm hearing nono stipulations?
4	MR. BANCHS: No, sir.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, I don't think we
6	need to re-stipulate that these are the record. Isam
7	I
8	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: No. No.
9	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
10	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: That'sthat'syeah
11	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I understand he's not
13	stipulating to the veracity of necessarily what's in
14	there, but he's stipulating that they're exhibits and
15	we're going to admit them into evidence.
16	MR. BANCHS: Yes.
17	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Right?
18	HEARING EXAMINER: Right. Okay. Do welet
19	me get back to witnesses again real quick. Do we want to
20	talk about the order? I mean, the Agency's going to
21	present their case first. Aredo you want toyou just
22	want to have the flexibility to be able to call your
23	witnesses as
24	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I do, sir.
25	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

1	MR. BANCHS: I don't have an order either,
2	sir.
3	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So as far asthose
4	folks just need to be here for the day.
5	MAJOR JOHNSON: All right, sir. I'll put that
6	out.
7	HEARING EXAMINER: And
8	MAJOR JOHNSON: I did give them all a warning
9	when I told them. I said, "I have no idea what the order
LO	is."
L1	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah.
L2	MAJOR JOHNSON: "You just need to be prepared
L3	to be here at 08:00 and be prepared to be called multiple
L4	times if need be."
L5	HEARING EXAMINER: Right. Okay.
L6	MR. SMOCK: Yes. I have a question to Ben.
L7	So, when the witnesses are called and they go back, do
L8	they go back to the same room with everybody else?
L9	MR. BANCHS: I guess the question goes to
20	where are the witnesses going to wait?
21	HEARING EXAMINER: Let's go off the record for
22	just a minute.
23	COURT RECORDER: We are going off the record.
24	The time is 9:16.
25	(Off the record)

1	(On the record)
2	COURT RECORDER: We are back on the record.
3	The time is 9:24.
4	HEARING EXAMINER: For the record, we just had
5	a discussion regarding where the witnesses will spend
6	their time when they're not in the hearing room.
7	Buildingseveral rooms in buildingthis
8	building 104 are available for them to congregate in. We
9	will also have the building next door available for them.
10	The primary point is that they be responsive and available
11	as needed throughout the course of the hearing.
12	I'm going to ask one more time if there's any
13	chance of a prehearing settlement
14	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I don't believe so.
15	HEARING EXAMINER:after we've gone through
16	this process.
17	Mr. Banchs?
18	MR. BANCHS: I mean, I wouldI would
19	entertain an offer from the Agency. But if theyif they
20	don't have one, sir, I mean
21	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: And Iand I haven't been
22	authorized to offer anything. So I don't thinkthat's
23	why I say I don't think it's likely.
24	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thanks.
25	Do either parties have any additional

1	questions at this time concerning the conduct of the
2	hearing?
3	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I do. In terms of moving
4	this along, sir, you have the entire 15-6. I presume
5	everyone's reviewed it. I know Mr. BanchsBanchs
6	MR. BANCHS: Yes, sir.
7	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:has reviewed it. And
8	you've admonished all of us not to be duplicative. So
9	without, again, stipulating to the veracity of what's in
10	there or theyou know, the truthfulness, I think we can
11	move this along if both parties are allowed to ask leading
12	questions, and we don't get a lot ofI mean, I'myou're
13	told me you're not a lawyer; is that right?
14	MR. BANCHS: No, sir, I'm not aI'm not.
15	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Okay. Well, I'm notI
16	don't have any intention on getting tricky with leading,
17	lack of foundation, and I'm sure you would, you know,
18	admonish me not to do that. So what I'd like to is, in
19	furtherance of moving this along as quickly as possible,
20	but covering everything, is ask leading questions
21	ofright on direct. And I have no objection whenwhen
22	Mr. Banchs calls his witnesses to get us right downyou
23	know, right into the testimony. And, by leading, I mean
24	leading in terms of open-ended questions. I wouldI
25	would like to ask witnesses you know tell me what you

1	know about a particular matter, without, you know,
2	objection, it's, you know, overly broad or whatever the
3	case may be. So I think that gets us there.
4	And that's a question I have. I've read the
E	TDD Its road the TDD ag it related to administrative

5 TPR. I've read the TPR as it relates to administrative 6 hearings. It says the court rules don't apply. And 7 that's--

8 HEARING EXAMINER: And I think if you--let me
9 just say this, too, and then I'll want to hear your
10 response, Ben, to that.

My--and I said it at the beginning, I'll say it again. My main objective is to determine whether or not the offenses occurred, whether or not some penalty promotes the efficiency of the service, assuming the offense occurred, and then, number three, in this case, was that offense--I'm sorry. Was that penalty the penalty that was necessary to promote the efficiency of the service.

So I'm--I'm pretty open to however you guys want to handle it. Obviously, I'll hear your objections if you have objections. But I want you guys to know what's going through my mind as I'm listening to the testimony. Those are the main points I'm trying to get to. So if it wanders off in some direction that doesn't answer those questions for--doesn't help me answer those

1	questions, then I'm going toI'm going to try and bring
2	it back.
3	But other than that, I'mI hear what you're
4	asking, and I'd like to hear from Mr. Banchs.
5	MR. BANCHS: It's not a court of law.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
7	MR. BANCHS: It's much more informal than
8	that. You know, so, I mean, if I have any objections, you
9	know, they're probably going to morego more towards
10	relevancy than anything, you know, and maybe an asked and
11	answered, you know, might come out there every now and
12	then. Butand you might have more of those than I do.
13	But as far as, like, anything, like, really technical, no
14	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
15	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, I think it'll move
16	things along tomorrow.
17	HEARING EXAMINER: I agree.
18	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I'll ask my witnesses
19	MR. BANCHS: Now, I'll say this, though, I
20	mean, you knowand maybe not in this case, but in the
21	Reed case, it could getthe subject matter could get a
22	little ugly. You know, so you guys need to be prepared
23	for that, you know.
24	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, we can save that for
25	this afternoon, if you want. But I'm not going to

1	tolerate any ugliness. This iswe're
2	MR. BANCHS: I'm not sayingit's not going to
3	be disrespectful. But the subject matter, itself, is not
4	going to be pleasant in some instances. I'm just
5	sayingand maybe even in this case. I don't know.
6	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Okay. Well, as it
7	MR. BANCHS: So I wouldn't want an objection
8	just because nobody likes what's being said. You know, I
9	mean, if it'sif it's testimony, then it's testimony.
10	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Agreed.
11	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I can agree there. What I
13	won't
14	MR. BANCHS: And it's not going to be sorted.
15	You know, it's not going to be anything like that. It's
16	just
17	HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah.
18	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Right. Ugliness is probably
19	the wrong term to use, then. I mean, I won't tolerate
20	that. But yeah, if it's testimony we don't like,
21	obviously, it's under oath, so. I intend to move things
22	along.
23	And then will you, not having participated in
24	this, do you intend to ask questions of witnesses if
25	something's not clear?

1	HEARING EXAMINER: I will. And I'llI'll
2	more often than not, save my questions untiluntil you
3	guys are done.
4	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Yeah.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: If there's somemy
6	experience has been that typically the questions I have
7	eventually get asked while each of you have the witness.
8	If Iif there's anything remaining at the end, I'll ask
9	that at the end if I need clarification. And then ifand
LO	I'll give you an opportunity to follow up with that if
11	there's something new that comes up at that point. But 99
12	percent of the time you guys will ask all the questions I
L3	will
L4	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Okay.
15	HEARING EXAMINER:I would have anyway.
L6	MR. BANCHS: I will say this, though, since
L7	you want some latitude in, you know, your questioning and
L8	you want to lead the witnesses, which is fine because I
L9	don't mind it, you know, I wouldI would object to
20	anything that's not already into evidence. You know, now,
21	if they say it, that'syou know, if the witness comes up
22	with something new that's not in the record already, but,
23	you know, if you try to introduce something new with your
24	line of questions, I am going to object to that. And I
25	would expect that you would object to me, as well.

1	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: Well, thatI don't foresee
2	that at this point.
3	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
4	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: And I'm not asking for
5	latitude. What I'm asking for is, sir, whatyou know,
6	what your parameters are. I mean, we can goyou know, we
7	can follow laying a foundation and not leading people and
8	whatnot. I'm perfectly willing and capable of doing that.
9	I justI'm trying to move things along here. And what I
10	mean is asking open-ended questions.
11	MR. BANCHS: That's fine.
12	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: I'm not going to object to,
13	you know, you asking witnesses, you know, tell me your
14	general thoughts on this particular person. I mean, if
15	thatif that
16	MR. BANCHS: Absolutely.
17	HEARING EXAMINER: I think if you jump
18	injump in at the point with the witness where you need
19	to be at to get the information that you're trying to get
20	out there, if we need to go back and reestablish some
21	foundation because there's something that's unclear at
22	that point, that'sI think it'llit'll flow.
23	MR. BANCHS: Yeah, it will.
24	HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, I agree with you.
25	I'm also for expediting this process and making sure we

1	don't wastethat we waste as little time as possible.
2	MR. BANCHS: Okay.
3	HEARING EXAMINER: So I think we'll be fine.
4	I mean, I
5	Any other questions, discussion?
6	CAPTAIN BEDELLS: No, sir
7	MR. BANCHS: No, sir.
8	CAPTAIN BEDELLS:not from the Agency.
9	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. If that's the case,
LO	this prehearing conference is hereby adjourned. Again,
11	the actual hearing will begin tomorrow, 7 October, at
12	08:00 in this room.
13	Okay. Thank you.
L4	MR. BANCHS: Thank you.
15	COURT RECORDER: We are off the record. The
16	time is 9:32.
L7	(At 9:32 a.m., proceedings concluded)
18	-0-0-0-
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTION
4	
5	I do hereby certify that on the date and at the place set forth
6	on the title page hereof, there did personally appear before
7	myself, Notary Public, the witnesses named on the table of
8	contents page; that said witnesses were sworn to tell the truth
9	and that testimony electronically recorded, the same being later
10	reduced to typewriting, and that the foregoing is a true and
11	accurate transcription by me of said electronic recording.
12	
13	I further certify that I, to the best of my knowledge, am not
14	related to or employed by any party to this cause or their
15	respective counsel/representative.
16	
17	
18	E TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY
19	
20	Tra Truon
21	Tracy L. O'Brien
22	Notary Public - CER - 5175
23	My commission expires 3/19/2020
24	
25	